

CERN-TH/2003-248
 SPIN-03/31
 ITP-03/50
 UCLA/03/TEP/28
 hep-th/0310136

Unusual gauged supergravities from type IIA and type IIB orientifolds

Carlo Angelantonj¹, Sergio Ferrara^{1,2,3} and Mario Trigiante⁴

¹ *Theory Division — CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23*

² *INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy*

³ *Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles*

⁴ *Spinoza Institute, Leuvenlaan 4, NL-3508 Utrecht*

Abstract

We analyse different $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravities coupled to six vector multiplets corresponding to low-energy descriptions of the bulk sector of T_6/\mathbb{Z}_2 orientifolds with p -brane in IIB (p odd) and in IIA (p even) superstrings. When fluxes are turned on, a gauging emerges corresponding to some non-semisimple Lie algebra related to nilpotent subalgebras $N_p \subset \text{so}(6, 6)$, with dimension $h_{N_p} = 15 + (p-3)(9-p)$. The non-metric axions have Stueckelberg couplings that induce a spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetries. In four cases the gauge algebra is non-abelian with a non-commutative structure of the compactification torus, due to fluxes of NS-NS and R-R forms.

1. Introduction

Effective four-dimensional supergravity theories obtained by superstring compactifications on certain six-dimensional manifolds are not only distinct by the number of supersymmetries preserved by the background, but also by the duality symmetries which act linearly on the vector fields. Although in general, theories with the same amount of supersymmetries are related by a (non-local) symplectic change of the duality basis acting on the electric and magnetic field strengths [1], after some isometries are gauged, that in theories with $\mathcal{N} > 1$ also amounts to the generation of a scalar potential, such change of basis is no longer allowed, and different gaugings describe genuinely different vacua [2, 3, 4].

The simplest manifestation of this phenomenon is perhaps given by two different gaugings of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ four-dimensional supergravity [2]: the $\text{SO}(8)$ gauging [5], corresponding to M-theory on $\text{AdS}_4 \times S_7$, and the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ spontaneously broken supergravity dimensionally reduced *à la* Scherk–Schwarz [6] on $\mathcal{M}_4 \times T_7$. In the former case the gauge algebra is a subalgebra of $\text{sl}(8, \mathbb{R}) \subset \text{e}_{7,7}$, while in the latter example the “flat algebra” is a subalgebra of $(\text{e}_6 + \text{so}(1, 1)) + T_{27} \subset \text{e}_{7,7}$ [7].

Similar manifestations also appear in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravities describing T_6/\mathbb{Z}_2 orientifolds, where the \mathbb{Z}_2 projection is a combination of the world-sheet parity Ω and geometric inversions of $9 - p$ directions of the compactification six-torus [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Indeed, in the two extremal cases of IIB orientifolds with $p = 3$ and $p = 9$ one is led to completely different low-energy supergravities. In the former case the fifteen Peccei–Quinn symmetries of the C_{MNPQ} R-R scalars do not rotate the twelve vectors $B_{\mu i}$ and $C_{\mu i}$, and thus can be gauged [13, 14, 15, 16] yielding a twelve-dimensional abelian gauge algebra. On the other hand, the $p = 9$ case corresponds to the T_6 reduction of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ ten-dimensional type I superstring. The fifteen Peccei–Quinn symmetries of the C_{MN} R-R scalars now rotate the twelve vectors \mathcal{G}_μ^i and $C_{\mu i}$

$$\delta C_{\mu i} = \xi_{ij} \mathcal{G}_\mu^j, \quad (1)$$

and no gauging is thus possible. The other orientifolds with $3 < p < 9$ appear as intermediate cases of these two, with the twelve vectors originating in part by the metric G_{MN} , in part by the NS-NS B -field, and in part by the R-R C -forms [17].

When fluxes are turned on [18]–[30] (see [31] for a comprehensive review), a very rich structure emerges depending on p . In particular, for $4 < p < 9$, the $p - 3$ graviphotons \mathcal{G}_μ^i always gauge “non-abelian” isometries when the H -flux of the B field strength is non-vanishing. This is a new manifestation of a non-commutative structure of the compactification torus in the presence of a non-trivial NS-NS background. For each case, there is a non-injective homomorphism ι between the gauge group \mathcal{G}_g , under which the

gauge fields transform in the adjoint representation, and its realisation \mathcal{G}'_g in terms of isometries of the scalar manifold, which is fixed by the scalar–vector minimal couplings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_g &\xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{G}'_g \subset \text{Isom}(\mathcal{M}_{scal}), \\ \mathcal{G}'_g &\equiv \mathcal{G}_g/\text{Ker}(\iota) \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Ker}(\iota) \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Elements in $\text{Ker}(\iota)$ are central charges in the gauge algebra \mathbb{G}_g of \mathcal{G}_g whose action is trivial on the scalar fields, and amounts to a pure *gauge* transformation on the vector fields. In some cases, the closure of \mathcal{G}'_g requires additional conditions on the fluxes.

The structure of the gauge algebras for the IIB orientifolds with $p = 7$ and $p = 5$, originally outlined in [17], where also the salient features of the underlying (ungauged) supergravities were exposed, is here summarised in section 2. Section 3 contains new results on the gauge algebras emerging from IIA orientifolds (p even). Finally, in section 4 our conclusions are drawn.

2. The gauge algebra of IIB orientifolds with fluxes

We recall here the gauge algebras of IIB orientifolds with $p = 7$ and $p = 5$, first exploited in [17]. To fix the notation, it is convenient to split the six-torus as

$$T_6 = T_{p-3} \times T_{9-p}, \quad (3)$$

with indices $i, j = 1, \dots, p-3$ labeling coordinates along the T_{p-3} sub-torus, and indices $a, b = 1, \dots, 9-p$ labeling the coordinates in T_{9-p} . The \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry we are implementing is a combination of world-sheet parity Ω and inversions I_{9-p} of the $9-p$ coordinates y^a of T_{9-p} . As a result, only the subgroup $\text{GL}(p-3) \times \text{GL}(9-p)$ of the isometries of the six-torus is perturbatively realised in the orientifold models we are interested in, and thus the decomposition (3) turns out to be the natural one.

2.1. The $T_4 \times T_2$ model

In this model the bulk gauge fields and the non-metric axions, invariant under the ΩI_4 projection, are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\mu^i, B_{\mu a}, C_{\mu a}, C_\mu^i &= \epsilon^{ijkl} C_{\mu jkl}, \\ C_0, B_{ia}, C_{ia}, C_{ijab} &= C_{ij} \epsilon_{ab}, C_{ijkl}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We shall focus on the effect of the fluxes

$$F_{ija}, H_{ija}, G_{ijkab}, \quad (5)$$

where F_{ija} , H_{ija} are the R–R and NS–NS three-form fluxes while G_{ijkab} is the flux of the five-form field-strength, whose effect was not considered in our previous analysis [17].

For our purposes it is convenient to collect the $B_{\mu a}$ and $C_{\mu a}$ vectors as well as the B_{ia} and C_{ia} scalars and the fluxes H_{ija} and F_{ija} into $\text{SO}(2,2)$ covariant quantities: A_μ^A , Φ_i^A and H_{ij}^A ($A = 1, \dots, 4$). The C_μ^i vectors decouple completely so that the active gauge algebra \mathbb{G}_g of \mathcal{G}_g is eight-dimensional with connection

$$\Omega_g = X_i \mathcal{G}_\mu^i + X_A A_\mu^A, \quad (6)$$

and with the following structure constants

$$[X_i, X_j] = H_{ij}^A X_A, \quad [X_i, X_A] = [X_A, X_\Sigma] = 0. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, there are $15 + (p-3)(9-p)$ (twenty-three in this case) scalar axions, whose associated solvable subalgebra [32, 33, 34, 35] of $\text{so}(6,6)$ is [17]

$$[T_0, T_\Lambda^i] = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^{A'} T_{A'}^i, \quad [T_\Lambda^i, T_{A'}^j] = \eta_{\Lambda A'} T^{ij}, \quad (8)$$

with the remaining commutators vanishing. The realisation \mathcal{G}'_g of the gauge algebra in terms of isometries of the scalar manifold is achieved through the following identification of its generators:

$$\begin{aligned} X'_i &= -H_{ij}^A T_\Lambda^j + G_{ijkab} T^{jk}, \\ X'^\Lambda &= \frac{1}{2} H_{ij}^A T^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Notice that the presence of the five-form flux G_{ijkab} does not affect the structure of the gauge algebra but amounts to an additional term in the covariant derivative of C_{ij} :

$$D_\mu C_{ij} = \partial_\mu C_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} H_{ij\Lambda} A_\mu^\Lambda - \mathcal{G}_\mu^k G_{kijab} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_\mu^k H_{k[i}^A \Phi_{j]A}. \quad (10)$$

In general, the identification of the gauge generators with isometries does not guarantee automatically that the gauge algebra \mathbb{G}'_g be compatible with \mathbb{G}_g . Indeed, in the case at hand, one can show that the expressions (9) for the generators of \mathbb{G}'_g reproduces the structure (7) of \mathbb{G}_g only if the following condition on the fluxes is fulfilled:

$$H_{ij}^A H_\Lambda^{ij} = 0. \quad (11)$$

This is consistent with the fact that the theory contains seven-branes ($p = 7$). Interestingly enough, this condition also allows a lift of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory to a truncation of a $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauge algebra [36].

2.2. The $T_2 \times T_4$ model

In this example [17] the twelve vector fields and the non-metric axions which are invariant under the orientifold projection are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_\mu^i, B_{\mu a}, C_{\mu i}, C_\mu^a &= \epsilon^{abcd} C_{\mu bcd}, \\ C_{ab}, B_{ia}, C_i^a &= \epsilon^{abcd} C_{ibcd}, C_{\mu\nu}, C_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Also in this case the $C_{\mu i}$ decouple, so that the active gauge algebra is ten-dimensional, with connection

$$\Omega_g = \mathcal{G}_\mu^i X_i + B_{a\mu} X^a + C_\mu^a X_a. \quad (13)$$

We shall consider only the effect of the NS-NS and R-R three-form fluxes $H_{ija} = \epsilon_{ij} H_a$ and F_{iab} . They appear as structure constants in the gauge algebra

$$[X_i, X_j] = \epsilon_{ij} H_a X^a, \quad [X_i, X^a] = F_i^{ab} X_b, \quad (14)$$

with the remaining commutators vanishing¹.

Turning to the scalar sector, the generators T , T^{ia} , T_a^i and T^{ab} of the twenty-three dimensional solvable algebra N_5 associated to the relevant axionic non-metric scalars obey the commutation relations

$$[T^{ia}, T^{bc}] = \epsilon^{abcd} T_d^i, \quad [T^{ia}, T_b^j] = \epsilon^{ij} \delta_b^a. \quad (15)$$

One is thus led to the following identifications

$$X'_i = -F_i^{ab} T_{ab} + H_a T_i^a, \quad X'_a = -H_a T, \quad X'^a = F_i^{ab} T_b^i, \quad (16)$$

of the gauge generators with the isometries of the solvable algebra. However, they reproduce now only a contracted version of \mathbb{G}_g as given in (14). Indeed, as we have already stated, the groups \mathbb{G}_g and \mathbb{G}'_g are related by the non-injective homomorphism (2), where now $\text{Ker}(\iota)$ is generated by the three central charges X_a orthogonal to X'_a .

Moreover, no further constraints are to be imposed on the fluxes, that however satisfy $H_3 \wedge F_3 = 0$ identically, at all consistent with the fact that the model would now include D5 branes. Also this model can be lifted to a gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory [36].

3. Type IIA orientifolds

We now turn to the description of gauge algebras of IIA orientifolds with fluxes, for the three different cases $p = 8, 6$ and 4 . Their spectra and ungauged low-energy supergravities have already been discussed in [17].

3.1. The $T_5 \times T_1$ model

Aside from the four-dimensional graviton $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the geometric moduli g_{ij} and g_{99} of $T_5 \times T_1$, the massless bosonic spectrum consists of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scalars (axionic):} \quad & C_i, B_{i9}, C_{ij9}, C_{\mu\nu9}, \\ \text{vector fields:} \quad & \mathcal{G}_\mu^i, C_{i9\mu}, C_\mu, B_{9\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

¹Indices are lowered and raised with the ϵ_{ij} and ϵ_{abcd} tensors

while only the H_{ij9} and G_{ijk9} fluxes for the NS-NS B -field and R-R three-form potential are allowed by the orientifold projection.

The gauge group \mathcal{G}_g is generated by the algebra $\mathbb{G}_g = \{X_i, X, X^{i9}, X^9\}$, with connection

$$\Omega^g = \mathcal{G}_\mu^i X_i + C_\mu X + C_{i9\mu} X^{i9} + B_{9\mu} X^9. \quad (18)$$

When fluxes are turned on, they appear as structure constants in the commutators

$$[X_i, X] = -H_{ij9} X^{j9}, \quad [X_i, X_j] = H_{ij9} X^9 + G_{ijk9} X^{k9}, \quad (19)$$

from which we deduce that the generators $\{X^9, X^{i9}\}$ are central charges. The form of the algebra (19) then suggests that the field strength of the vector fields present non-abelian couplings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^i &= \partial_\mu \mathcal{G}_\nu^i - \partial_\nu \mathcal{G}_\mu^i, \\ F_{i9\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu C_{i9\nu} - \partial_\nu C_{i9\mu} + \mathcal{G}_\mu^k C_\nu H_{ki9} - \mathcal{G}_\nu^k C_\mu H_{ki9} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^k \mathcal{G}_\nu^\ell G_{k\ell i9}, \\ F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu C_\nu - \partial_\nu C_\mu, \\ \mathcal{H}_{9\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu B_{9\nu} - \partial_\nu B_{9\mu} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^k \mathcal{G}_\nu^\ell H_{k\ell 9}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

as is confirmed by a supergravity inspection.

Turning to the scalar sector, we have shown in [17] that the solvable algebra parametrised by the (non-metric) axionic scalars is generated by

$$N_8 = \{B_{i9} T'^i + C_i T^i + C_{ij9} T^{ij}\}, \quad (21)$$

with the only non-vanishing commutator given by

$$[T^i, T'^j] = T^{ij}. \quad (22)$$

The group \mathcal{G}'_g of gauge transformations on the axionic scalars is now generated by the algebra $\mathbb{G}'_g = \{X'_i, X'\}$, since in this case $\text{Ker}(\iota) = \{X^9, X^{i9}\}$. The realisation of \mathbb{G}'_g in the terms of isometries of the scalar manifold suggests the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} X'_i &= H_{ij9} T'^j - G_{ijk9} T^{jk}, \\ X' &= H_{ij9} T^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

that reproduce the structure (19) once we set to zero the central charges.

The generators (23) induce then the following transformations on the scalars

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \tilde{C}_{ij9} &= -\xi H_{ij9} - \xi^k G_{ijk9} + \xi^k H_{k[i|9} C_{j]}, \\ \delta B_{i9} &= \xi^j H_{ji9}, \\ \delta C_i &= 0, \\ \delta C_{\mu\nu9} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where we have found convenient to define the scalar $C_{ij9} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{ij9} = C_{ij9} - C_{[i}B_{j]}9$. As a result, the corresponding covariant derivatives read

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \tilde{C}_{ij9} &= \partial_\mu \tilde{C}_{ij9} + C_\mu H_{ij9} + \mathcal{G}_\mu^k G_{ijk9} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^k H_{k[i|9} C_{j]} , \\ D_\mu B_{i9} &= \partial_\mu B_{i9} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^k H_{k[i9} , \\ D_\mu C_i &= \partial_\mu C_i . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

3.2. The $T_3 \times T_3$ model

The next model we shall describe, is the $T_3 \times T_3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ orientifold of the IIA superstring. Its massless spectrum comprises, aside from the four-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the vector fields

$$\mathcal{G}_\mu^i, C_{ij\mu}, B_{a\mu}, C_{ab\mu} , \quad (26)$$

the dilaton, the geometric moduli g_{ab} and g_{ij} of the six-torus in its $T_3 \times T_3$ decomposition, and the axionic scalars $\{C^{ab}, B_{ia}, C_{iab}, C_{k\mu\nu} = C_{ij}, C_{ijk}\}$. These latter, aside from C_{ijk} , parametrise a twenty-four dimensional solvable subalgebra

$$N_6 = \{B_{ia} T^{ia} + C^{ab} T_{ab} + C_i^a T_a^i + C_{ij} T^{ij}\} , \quad (27)$$

whose structure is encoded in the non-vanishing commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{ab}, T^{ic}] &= T_{[a}^i \delta_{b]}^c , \\ [T^{ia}, T_b^j] &= T^{ij} \delta_b^a . \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The active gauge group \mathcal{G}_g is generated by the algebra $\mathbb{G}_g = \{X_i, X^a, X^{ab}\}$ with connection

$$\Omega^g = \mathcal{G}_\mu^i X_i + C_{ab\mu} X^{ab} + B_{a\mu} X^a . \quad (29)$$

We shall consider the effect of the fluxes

$$F_{ia}, H_{ija}, G_{ijab} , \quad (30)$$

which determine a non-abelian gauge algebra, with commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i, X_j] &= H_{ija} X^a + G_{ijab} X^{ab} , \\ [X^a, X_i] &= \tfrac{1}{2} F_{ib} X^{ab} . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

As a result the field strengths of the vector fields read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{a\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu B_{a\nu} - \partial_\nu B_{a\mu} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^i \mathcal{G}_\nu^j H_{ija} , \\ F_{ij\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu C_{ij\nu} - \partial_\nu C_{ij\mu} , \\ F_{ab\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu C_{ab\nu} - \partial_\nu C_{ab\mu} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^i \mathcal{G}_\nu^j G_{ijab} - \tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_\mu^i F_{i[a} B_{b]\nu} + \tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_\nu^i F_{i[a} B_{b]\mu} , \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^i &= \partial_\mu \mathcal{G}_\nu^i - \partial_\nu \mathcal{G}_\mu^i . \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The group \mathcal{G}'_g of gauge transformations on the axionic scalars is generated by the algebra $\mathbb{G}'_g = \{X'_i, X'^a, X'^{ab}\}$, and is realised in terms of isometries of the scalar manifold by the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} X'_i &= -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} F_{ia} T_{bc} + H_{ija} T^{ja} + \frac{1}{2} G_{ijab} T_c^j, \\ X'^a &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} G_{bcij} T^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} F_{ib} T_c^i, \\ X'^{ab} &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} H_{ijc} T^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

An explicit calculation of their commutators, then shows that the algebra \mathbb{G}'_g reproduces the structure (31) of \mathbb{G}_g if the following conditions on the fluxes are met

$$V^c = \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{abc} F_{ia} H_{jkb} = 0, \quad (34)$$

that also imply the useful relation

$$\epsilon^{abc} F_{[i|a} H_{j]kb} = -\frac{1}{2} F_{ka} H_{ijb}. \quad (35)$$

The identifications (33) induce the following gauge transformations on the axionic scalars

$$\begin{aligned} \delta C_i^a &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} \xi_b F_{ic} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \xi^j G_{jibc} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} \xi^j F_{ja} B_{ic}, \\ \delta C_a &= -\frac{1}{2} \xi^i F_{ia}, \\ \delta B_{ia} &= \xi^j H_{jia}, \\ \delta C_{ij} &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} \xi_{ab} H_{ijc} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} \xi_a G_{ijbc} - \xi^k H_{k[i|a} C_{j]}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

that generate the minimal couplings

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu C_i^a &= \partial_\mu C_i^a - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} B_{b\mu} F_{ic} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \mathcal{G}_\mu^j G_{jibc} - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} \mathcal{G}_\mu^j F_{ja} B_{ic}, \\ D_\mu C_a &= \partial_\mu C_a + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_\mu^i F_{ia}, \\ D_\mu B_{ia} &= \partial_\mu B_{ia} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^j H_{jia}, \\ D_\mu C_{ij} &= \partial_\mu C_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} C_{ab\mu} H_{ijc} - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{abc} B_{a\mu} G_{ijbc} + \mathcal{G}_\mu^k H_{k[i|a} C_{j]}^a. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

3.3. The $T_1 \times T_5$ model

Finally, we consider the $T_1 \times T_5$ orientifold. The relevant bosonic fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scalars (axionic):} \quad &C_{abc}, B_{4a}, C_{a\mu\nu} = C^b, C_4, \\ \text{vector fields:} \quad &\mathcal{G}_\mu^4, C_\mu, C_{4a\mu}, B_{a\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

while the allowed fluxes for the NS-NS B -field and R-R one-form and three-form potentials are H_{abc} , F_{ab} and G_{4abc} .

The active gauge group \mathcal{G}_g is generated by the gauge algebra $\mathbb{G}_g = \{X_4, X, X^a\}$ with connection

$$\Omega_g = \mathcal{G}_\mu^4 X_4 + C_\mu X + B_{a\mu} X^a, \quad (39)$$

is now purely abelian, even when fluxes are turned on.

On the other hand, the generators of the group \mathcal{G}'_g are not linearly independent, and have the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} X'_4 &= G_{4abc} T^{abc}, \\ X' &= H_{abc} T^{abc}, \\ X'^a &= F_{bc} T^{abc}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

in terms of the generators of the solvable algebra

$$\begin{aligned} N_4 &= \{B_{4a} T^a + C^a T_a + C^{ab} T_{ab}\}, \\ [T_{ab}, T^c] &= T_{[a} \delta_{b]}^c, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

parametrised by the (non-metric) axionic scalars.

Under the action of \mathcal{G}'_g these scalars transform as²

$$\begin{aligned} \delta C_{abc} &= \xi_{[a} F_{bc]} + \xi H_{abc} + \xi^4 G_{4abc}, \\ \delta B_{4a} &= 0, \\ \delta \tilde{C}^a &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with the only non-trivial covariant derivative given by

$$D_\mu C_{abc} = \partial_\mu C_{abc} - B_{i[a} F_{bc]} - C_\mu H_{abc} - \mathcal{G}_\mu^4 G_{4abc}. \quad (43)$$

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the algebraic structure of four-dimensional T_6/\mathbb{Z}_2 orientifolds, extending the analysis in [17]. In the IIA case the active gauge algebras have dimensions twelve, nine and seven for $p = 8, 6$ and 4 , and their consistency implies the condition $F_2 \wedge H_3 = 0$ (for $p \neq 4$). While in the $p = 8$ case it is trivially satisfied, for $p = 6$ it implies a constraint on the fluxes, in analogy with the $p = 7$ case in type IIB [17].

Aside from the $p = 4$ orientifold, the active gauge algebras are typically non-abelian when fluxes are turned on, and, for $p = 8$ and 5 , they are central extensions of the solvable algebras N_p generated by the Peccei-Quinn symmetries of the (non-metric) axionic scalars.

²We have here defined the scalar $\tilde{C}^a = C^a - C^{ab} B_{4a}$, as suggested by a direct supergravity analysis.

Furthermore, an interesting structure emerges as far as the graviton gauge fields \mathcal{G}_μ^i are concerned. Their generators X_i do not commute ($p \neq 3, 4, 9$) when H -fluxes are turned on,

$$\begin{aligned} p = 5 \quad & [X_i, X_j] = \epsilon_{ij} H_a X^a, \\ p = 6 \quad & [X_i, X_j] = H_{ija} X^a + G_{ijab} X^{ab}, \\ p = 7 \quad & [X_i, X_j] = H_{ij}^A X_A, \\ p = 8 \quad & [X_i, X_j] = H_{ij9} X^9 + G_{ijk9} X^{k9}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

independently of our choices of the R-R fluxes. Since the X_i are four-dimensional remnants of torus translations, this signals the non-commutative nature of the torus [37, 38] in the presence of H fluxes for the NS-NS B -field.

Acknowledgements. C. A. would like to acknowledge the Physics Department of the University of Crete for hospitality while completing this paper. M. T. is grateful to H. Samtleben for useful discussions. The work of M.T. is supported by an European Community Marie Curie Fellowship under contract HPRN-CT-2001-01276, and in part by EEC under TMR contract ERBFMRX-CT96-0090. The work of S.F. has been supported in part by European Community's Human Potential Program under contract HPRN-CT-2000-00131 Quantum Space-Time, in association with INFN Frascati National Laboratories and by D.O.E. grant DE-FG03-91ER40662, Task C.

References

- [1] M. K. Gaillard and B. Zumino, “Duality Rotations For Interacting Fields,” Nucl. Phys. B193 (1981) 221.
- [2] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledó, “Duality and spontaneously broken supergravity in flat backgrounds,” Nucl. Phys. B640 (2002) 63 [arXiv:hep-th/0204145].
- [3] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, “Duality twists, orbifolds, and fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0210209.
- [4] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, “On Lagrangians and gaugings of maximal supergravities,” arXiv:hep-th/0212239.
- [5] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, “N=8 Supergravity With Local SO(8) X SU(8) Invariance,” Phys. Lett. B108 (1982) 285.

- [6] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, “How To Get Masses From Extra Dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B153 (1979) 61.
- [7] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. A. Lledo, “Gauging of flat groups in four dimensional supergravity,” JHEP 0207 (2002) 010 [arXiv:hep-th/0203206].
- [8] A. Sagnotti, “Open Strings And Their Symmetry Groups,” arXiv:hep-th/0208020; M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, “On The Systematics Of Open String Theories,” Phys. Lett. B247 (1990) 517; M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, “Toroidal compactification and symmetry breaking in open string theories,” Nucl. Phys. B376 (1992) 365.
- [9] J. Polchinski and Y. Cai, “Consistency Of Open Superstring Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B296 (1988) 91; J. Dai, R. G. Leigh and J. Polchinski, “New Connections Between String Theories,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 2073.
- [10] P. Horava, “Background Duality Of Open String Models,” Phys. Lett. B231 (1989) 251.
- [11] J. Polchinski, “Dirichlet-Branes and Ramond-Ramond Charges,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4724 [arXiv:hep-th/9510017].
- [12] For reviews, see: J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri and C. V. Johnson, “Notes on D-Branes,” arXiv:hep-th/9602052; C. Angelantonj and A. Sagnotti, “Open strings,” Phys. Rept. 371 (2002) 1 [arXiv:hep-th/0204089].
- [13] A. R. Frey and J. Polchinski, “ $N = 3$ warped compactifications”, hep-th/0201029.
- [14] S. Kachru, M. Schulz and S. Trivedi, “Moduli Stabilization from Fluxes in a Simple IIB Orientifold”, hep-th/0201028.
- [15] R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara, F. Gargiulo, M. Trigiante and S. Vaula, “ $N = 4$ supergravity Lagrangian for type IIB on T^6/Z_2 in presence of fluxes and D3-branes,” arXiv:hep-th/0303049.
- [16] M. Berg, M. Haack and B. Kors, “An orientifold with fluxes and branes via T-duality,” arXiv:hep-th/0305183.
- [17] C. Angelantonj, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, “New $D = 4$ gauged supergravities from $N = 4$ orientifolds with fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0306185.
- [18] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, “New Vacua for Type II String Theory”, Phys. Lett. B388 (1996) 736.

- [19] T. R. Taylor and C. Vafa, “RR flux on Calabi-Yau and partial supersymmetry breaking”, *Phys. Lett.* B474 (2000) 130.
- [20] S. Gukov, C. Vafa and E. Witten, “CFTs from Calabi-Yau Fourfolds,” *Nucl. Phys.* B584 (2000) 69 [arXiv:hep-th/9906070]
- [21] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh and S. Sethi, “M-theory, Orientifolds and G-flux,” *JHEP* 9908 (1999) 023 [arXiv:hep-th/9908088].
- [22] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, “Hierarchies from Fluxes in String Compactifications”, hep-th/0105097.
- [23] K. Becker and M. Becker, “Supersymmetry breaking, M-theory and fluxes,” *JHEP* 0107 (2001) 038 [arXiv:hep-th/0107044].
- [24] M. Haack and J. Louis, “M-theory compactified on Calabi-Yau fourfolds with background flux,” *Phys. Lett.* B507 (2001) 296 [arXiv:hep-th/0103068].
- [25] C. Beasley and E. Witten, “A note on fluxes and superpotentials in M-theory compactifications on manifolds of G(2) holonomy,” arXiv:hep-th/0203061.
- [26] S. Gukov and M. Haack, “IIA string theory on Calabi-Yau fourfolds with background fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0203267.
- [27] G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall’Agata, D. Lust, P. Manousselis and G. Zoupanos, “Non-Kaehler string backgrounds and their five torsion classes,” arXiv:hep-th/0211118.
G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall’Agata and D. Lust, “BPS action and superpotential for heterotic string compactifications with fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0306088.
- [28] S. Kachru, M. B. Schulz, P. K. Tripathy and S. P. Trivedi, “New supersymmetric string compactifications,” arXiv:hep-th/0211182.
- [29] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta and P. S. Green, “Compactifications of heterotic theory on non-Kaehler complex manifolds. I,” arXiv:hep-th/0301161.
- [30] P. K. Tripathy and S. P. Trivedi, “Compactification with flux on K3 and tori,” arXiv:hep-th/0301139.
- [31] A. R. Frey, arXiv:hep-th/0308156.
- [32] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and M. Trigiante, “R-R scalars, U-duality and solvable Lie algebras,” *Nucl. Phys.* B496 (1997) 617 [arXiv:hep-th/9611014].

- [33] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre, R. Minasian and M. Trigiante, “Solvable Lie algebras in type IIA, type IIB and M theories,” Nucl. Phys. B493 (1997) 249 [arXiv:hep-th/9612202].
- [34] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Dualisation of dualities. I,” Nucl. Phys. B523 (1998) 73 [arXiv:hep-th/9710119].
- [35] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Dualisation of dualities. II: Twisted self-duality of doubled fields and superdualities,” Nucl. Phys. B535 (1998) 242 [arXiv:hep-th/9806106].
- [36] B. de Wit, H. Samtleben and M. Trigiante, to appear.
- [37] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, “Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: Compactification on tori,” JHEP 9802 (1998) 003 [arXiv:hep-th/9711162].
- [38] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry,” JHEP 9909 (1999) 032 [arXiv:hep-th/9908142].